wavelength peak of *Aequorea* GFP. We now report that simple point mutations in *Aequorea* GFP ameliorate its main problems and bring its spectra much closer to that of Renilla.

Serine 65 of the amino-acid sequence of *Aequorea* GFP becomes part of the 9-hydroxybenzylideneimidazolinone chromophore. To test the hypothesis that Ser 65 undergoes additional dehydration to form a vinyl side chain, we mutated that residue to Ala, Leu, Cys or Thr. If a vinyl group were formed by addition of water to Ser 65 → Ala and 65 → Cys, and 65 → Thr mutants should give identical spectra very different from Ala and Leu in which elimination is impossible. Serendipitously, all four mutants showed single excitation peaks, located at 470–490 nm, whose amplitudes were four- to sixfold greater than that of wild-type for equal numbers of molecules (a in the figure). These results exclude vinyl formation. The Ser 65 → Thr mutant (65ST) was selected for further characterization because it had the longest wavelengths of excitation and emission (490 and 510 nm), which closely resembled those reported for Renilla GFP (498 and 508 nm). The crucial post-translational oxidation to produce the fluorophore from the nascent polypeptide chain proceeded about fourfold more rapidly in 65ST than in the wild-type protein (b in the figure). This acceleration ameliorates a poten-tially significant limitation in using GFP as a reporter protein for rapid gene inductions.

Mutations of Ser 65 to Arg, Asn, Asp, Phe, and Trp gave fluorescence intensities well below that of wild type. It remains unclear exactly how position 65 controls spectral properties or why *Aequorea* chose serine. Nevertheless, the greatly increased brightness and rate of fluorophore generation in mutants such as 65ST should make them superior to wild-type *Aequorea* GFP for most experimental uses.

*Note added in proof:* GFP variants generated by combinatorial mutagenesis of positions 64–69 have excitation peaks near 490 nm, but their amplitudes and the kinetics of fluorophore formation have not been quantified.
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**Kinetics of protein folding**

Str — Sali *et al.* have attempted to resolve the “Levinthal paradox” of how proteins find their unique native conformations so fast. Although we agree with some of their points, we question others.

First, a model can bear on the Levinthal paradox only if the folding kinetics are run at a temperature low enough for the native state to be more stable than the denatured states. But Sali *et al.* are not studying native conditions: their molecules are mostly denatured. The temperatures they use are so high that equilibrium populations of the native states of many of their “folding sequences” are only 1–5% (ref. 2), and none exceeds 40% (ref. 1). Other model studies show that the native states can be accessed quickly in certain ranges of denaturing temperatures, but most of the chains will not stay there. If Sali *et al.* could not find native states under folding conditions, they have not completely addressed the Levinthal paradox.

Second, Sali *et al.* state that the "neces-
scapes have shapes that can be quickly traversed to reach the bottom. Sali et al. show that Metropolis Monte Carlo sampling can find the lowest energy of a particular parameterized potential function, but this was already clear from many earlier efforts. The issue, therefore, is whether their potential function is better than earlier models. Baldwin in News and Views has said that Sali et al. were using a potential function of the Miyazawa-Jernigan type, picked from the pairwise interactions in the protein database. But, as Sali et al. have noted, the terms are picked from a random gaussian distribution, not from the database. Their potential function is not particularly physical, as correlations among contact energies of different pairs of amino-acid residues are neglected. It is unclear whether the potential is any more or less protein-like than any of the potentials used in previous works.

Baldwin described the work of Sali et al. as an important "new view" of protein folding. Naturally, lattice models are useful for addressing general physical principles of protein folding, even though they involve considerable simplification. However, it is clear from many earlier efforts, including some that used comparable lattice simulations, that many of the ideas Baldwin cites as "new" are already in the literature (refs 4, 10, 13-18, and refs therein, and reviewed more recently in refs 3, 5, 6).
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**Karpplus et al. reply — Chan et al. raise several questions, all of which have simple answers. The object of our study was to examine a large number of sequences and to separate those that fold from those that do not. Consequently, a temperature slightly above the midpoint of the folding transition, was used to speed up the reaction. If folding to the native state were always possible under the simulation conditions, as Chan et al. imply there would not have been any non-folding sequences and our computer experiment would have failed. But this is not the case. Further, the same folding kinetics is observed throughout the temperature range where the true native state stability varies from 1 to 40%**.

A pronounced energy gap between the native and first excited state (equations (3) and (10) in ref. 1) for the fully compact ensemble, is a necessary and sufficient condition for rapid folding in the model study. It is necessary because no sequence without such a minimum fold to the native state, and is sufficient because all sequences with such a minimum do fold (Fig. 7 of ref. 2). As to the 11 out of 200 sequences that have their minimum outside the fully compact set, none satisfied the energy condition nor did they fold repeatedly either to the lowest fully compact state or to the lowest energy state found by a Monte Carlo simulation. Thus, these sequences confirm and generalize the folding criterion. Further, the use of the energy condition for quantitatively determining the folding rate has been demonstrated. There is a strong correlation between the results from the fully compact states and the complete set of states (refs 2 and 7, below).

The nature of the configuration space, as well as the number of conformers, is important for the Levinthal paradox. Surfaces can be constructed for which resolution of the paradox is trivial, but this is not true for the 27-mer since only a fraction of sequences fold rapidly. The large size of the configuration space is necessary for the existence of a paradox. The 27-mer model has 10^26 configurations and requires fewer than 5 x 10^11 Monte Carlo steps to find the native state. Short oligomers that have been extensively studied on a two-dimensional square lattice may be too small; for example, more Monte Carlo steps (10^4 or more) than there are configurations (4 x 10^4) were required for folding a 13-mer.

The aim of the lattice simulations was to study random interactions so as to determine what differentiates folding from non-folding sequences. The exact choice of parameters was not important, as long as a reasonable set was used. The 27-mer parameters correspond to the Miyazawa and Jernigan set in terms of the magnitude of the interaction energies and their standard deviation.

As to Baldwin’s statement in News and Views that we presented a “new view” of protein folding, we agree that some of the concepts in refs 1 and 2 were presaged in earlier work of Go and Aebi and of others cited in refs 1 and 2. The 27-mer model studies provided the first demonstration that the energy-gap condition and a detailed mechanism for resolving the Levinthal paradox might be found a posteriori in other experiments without having to be introduced explicitly a priori to achieve folding.
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